STATE OF GEORGIA

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS
TO
CONDUCT AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES ENGAGEMENTS
ON ONE OR MORE APPLICATIONS FOR DISBURSEMENTS FROM THE
UNIVERSAL ACCESS FUND

DATE OF ISSUANCE: 4:00 P.M. November 17, 2020

INSTRUCTIONS

All spaces below and in the attached Proposal Signature and Certification form are to be filled in with signatures where indicated. Failure to sign proposal will result in rejection of your proposal.

PROPOSAL OF:

Company Name:

Contact Name:

Address:

Telephone: Fax:

Email:
SUBMIT PROPOSAL TO:

Mr. Reece McAlister
Georgia Public Service Commission
244 Washington Street, SW
Atlanta, Georgia 30334-5701

DATE PROPOSAL SENT:

CARRIER USED:

TRACKING NUMBER:
NOTE: PLEASE ENSURE THAT ALL REQUIRED SIGNATURE BLOCKS ARE COMPLETED. FAILURE TO SIGN THIS FORM WILL CAUSE REJECTION OF YOUR PROPOSAL.

State of Georgia

Public Service Commission

PROPOSAL

We propose to furnish and deliver any and all of the services named in the attached Request for Proposals (“RFP”) for which prices have been set. The price or prices offered herein shall apply for the period of time stated in the RFP.

It is understood and agreed that this proposal constitutes an offer, which when accepted in writing by the Public Service Commission, State of Georgia, and subject to the terms and conditions of such acceptance, will constitute a valid and binding contract between the undersigned and the State of Georgia.

It is understood and agreed that we have read the State’s specifications shown or referenced in the RFP and that this proposal is made in accordance with the provisions of such specifications. By our written signature on this proposal, we guarantee and certify that all items included in this proposal meet or exceed any and all such State specifications. We further agree if awarded a contract, to deliver services that meet or exceed the specifications.

It is understood and agreed that this proposal shall be valid and held open for a period of one hundred twenty (120) days from proposal opening date.

_________________________  _______________________
Authorized Signature           Date

_________________________  _______________________
Print/Type Name               Print/Type Company Name
PROPOSAL SIGNATURE AND CERTIFICATION

(Offeror must sign and return with proposal)

I certify that this proposal is made without prior understanding, agreement, or connection with any corporation, firm, or person submitting a proposal for the same materials, supplies, equipment, or services and is in all respects fair and without collusion or fraud. I understand collusive bidding is a violation of State and Federal Law and can result in fines, prison sentences, and civil damage awards. I agree to abide by all conditions of the proposal and certify I am authorized to sign this proposal for the offeror. I further certify that the provisions of the Official Code of Georgia Annotated, Sections 45-10-20 et seq. have not been violated and will not be violated in any respect.

__________________________________________  __________________________________________
Authorized Signature                                          Date

__________________________________________  __________________________________________
Print/Type Name                                          Print/Type Company Name
REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

1.0 GENERAL

1.1 Introduction

Pursuant to its statutory regulatory responsibilities contained in the Official Code of Georgia Annotated (“O.C.G.A.”), Section 46, the Georgia Public Service Commission (“GPSC” or “Commission”) is required to act on a variety of issues. From time to time, the Commission utilizes the services of consultants to assist in these tasks. To assist in the determination of the consultants or consulting firms to be retained for this purpose, the Commission shall accept competitive sealed proposals from interested and available offerors. All proposals submitted pursuant to this request shall be made in accordance with the provisions of these instructions. The proposals shall be evaluated in accordance with the evaluation criteria set forth in this Request for Proposals (“RFP”). Subsequent to the opening of the sealed proposals, discussions may be conducted by the GPSC with responsible offerors who submit proposals determined to be potentially acceptable for the purpose of clarification to assure full understanding of and responsiveness to the solicitation requirements. Offerors shall be accorded fair and equal treatment with respect to any opportunity for discussion and revision of proposals.

In conducting any such discussions, there shall be no disclosure of any information derived from proposals submitted by competing offerors. All such discussions shall be conducted by the Issuing Officer named below:

Mr. Patrick Reinhardt  
Engineer, Telecommunications Unit  
Georgia Public Service Commission  
244 Washington Street, SW  
Atlanta, Georgia 30334-5701  
Telephone: 404.463.2151  
Email: patrickr@psc.ga.gov

Contract awards shall be made to the responsible offerors whose proposals are determined to be the most advantageous for the State, taking into account all of the requirements and evaluation criteria set forth in the RFP. No other requirements or criteria shall be used in the evaluation. The Commission reserves the right to reject any and all proposals submitted in response to this request. There is no assurance, expressed or implied, that an award will necessarily be made pursuant to this RFP. This RFP shall not give any right to any respondent for any indemnification claims.
1.2 **Background**

The Commission plans to utilize appropriate, qualified consultants to assist the Commission Staff in performing the tasks described in Section 2.0 (Scope of Services) of this RFP.

1.3 **Procurement Timetable**

The following timetable will apply to this RFP, unless otherwise ordered by the Commission:

November 17, 2020           Date of Issuance of RFP
December 17, 2020           Deadline for receipt of proposals by the Commission

**NO PROPOSALS WILL BE ACCEPTED FOR ANY REASON AFTER THIS TIME**

January 19, 2021           Proposal award

Proposals should be delivered to the Executive Secretary’s Office at the location below:

Mr. Reece McAlister  
Georgia Public Service Commission  
244 Washington Street, SW  
Atlanta, Georgia 30334-5701

1.4 **Restrictions on Communications with Commission Staff**

From the issue date of this RFP until an offeror is selected and the selection is announced, offerors are not allowed to communicate for any reason with any Commission Staff member concerning this RFP except through the Issuing Officer named herein, or as provided by existing work agreement(s). For violation of this provision, the State shall reserve the right to reject the proposal of the offending offeror.

1.5 **RFP Amendments**

The Commission reserves the right to amend this RFP prior to the date of proposal submission. Amendments will be sent to all offerors who originally received a copy of the RFP.
1.6 Proposal Withdrawal

Prior to the proposal due date, a submitted proposal may be withdrawn by the offeror by submitting a written request to the Issuing Officer named herein. Any such request must be signed by a person authorized to sign for the offeror.

1.7 Cost for Preparing Proposals

The cost of developing the proposal is the sole responsibility of the offeror. The State will not provide reimbursement for such costs.

1.8 Contract Term

The contract will be a two-party contract between the offeror and the Commission. The term of the contract shall be subject to negotiation. Additional contract information is contained in Sections 1.9 and 3.4 of this RFP.

1.9 Contract

A sample contract issued by the Commission in an unrelated matter is attached to this RFP as Attachment 1. Articles II, III, IV, V, and VI of this contract are germane to the consulting services which are contemplated by this RFP. Article I, Scope of Services, of the contract will mirror Section 2.0 of this RFP. Submission of a proposal constitutes acceptance of the terms contained in Sections II through VI of the sample contract by the submitting party. Each proposal shall contain the name, title, and hourly rate of every consultant and support person employed by the offeror, as well as any subcontractor expected to be engaged in work on the tasks contained in this RFP. Any subsequent request by the offeror to add any other personnel may be denied. If no clerical/administrative personnel are listed in the offeror’s proposal, the costs for this type of work will be assumed to have been otherwise covered in the bid price. Also, the offeror’s federal tax identification number or social security number (for an individual consultant) shall also be included in the proposal. The GPSC reserves the right to negotiate with the successful offeror other additions to, deletions from and/or changes in the language in the contract, provided that no such addition, deletion or change in contract language would, in the sole discretion of the GPSC, affect the evaluation criteria set forth herein, or give the successful offeror a competitive advantage.

1.10 Format for Responses

Proposals should correspond with and satisfy the requirements set forth in this RFP. The offeror must submit FIVE copies of its final proposal to the Commission. Only ONE copy of reference documents should be submitted. In addition to the hardcopies,
offerors must also submit an electronic copy of the proposal and reference documents in electronic format, in either an Adobe or Microsoft Word file on compact disc.

Proposals should indicate whether or not there are any deviations from the specified requirements.

1.11 Information Required from Offerors

Offeror’s proposal must be submitted in the format outlined below, preparing consecutively numbered pages with index tabs for each section.

A. STATEMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS. State in succinct terms the offeror’s understanding of the requirements presented by this RFP.

B. MANAGEMENT SUMMARY. Include a narrative description of the proposed effort and a list of the products that will be delivered, and a proposed timeline for task completion. There should be a separate narrative description for each of the work tasks.

C. WORK PLAN. Task descriptions are to be the guide in describing the offeror’s technical plan for accomplishing the work. The task descriptions should be very detailed in order to afford the Commission a thorough understanding of the work plan. Offerors are cautioned that their proposal may be rejected if their work plan does not include specific recommendations of how each of the task descriptions will be accomplished.

D. PRIOR EXPERIENCE. Submit a statement of similar work conducted in the previous five years. Studies or projects referred to should be identified and the name of the client shown, including the name, address, and phone number of the responsible official of the client company or agency who may be contacted. Also, highlight any experience in the state of Georgia, and the number and percentage of recommendations that have been accepted for approval for the past five years.

E. PERSONNEL. The name of the individual proposed as project leader for each work task, together with a detailed resume of their experience in conducting similar efforts, should be provided. Also, provide a detailed resume for each individual—executive, professional, management analyst, systems analyst, auditor, staff consultant, etc.—who will be engaged in the work, describing the qualifications applicable to the performance of the tasks. Please include an organizational chart showing reporting relationships of team personnel. These individuals may not be removed from the project without prior consent of the Commission. See Section 1.9 regarding personnel changes.
F. **STATEMENT ON POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST.** The offeror shall identify any relationships between itself or its employees and the companies under the jurisdiction of the Commission, or any subsidiaries or affiliates of such companies. The extent, nature and time aspects must be identified. If there have been no such relationships, a statement to that effect shall be included in the proposal. Failure to provide the statement on potential conflicts of interest will automatically disqualify the offeror.

G. **TIME ESTIMATES.** Estimate the time required for completion of each work task as outlined in Section 2.0, Scope of Services. Indicate the number of employee hours, by individual employee rate, allocated to each task. Submit this data on a cost analysis chart. Include a project schedule display, highlighting each task with estimated start and completion time.

1.12 Additional Information

An offeror that submits a proposal that meets the requirements set forth in this RFP may be requested to provide additional information.

1.13 Retention of Proposals

All material submitted in response to this RFP will become the property of the Commission and may be returned to the offeror at the option of the Commission. One copy shall be retained by the Commission for official files for a period of seven (7) years from the date of receipt.

1.14 Questions Regarding This RFP

Questions regarding the requirements set forth in this RFP should be directed to the Issuing Officer listed in Section 1.1, Introduction.

1.15 Offeror Oral Presentations

No oral presentations are contemplated. Offerors will be contacted by the Issuing Officer regarding any questions from the Commission Staff concerning their proposals.

1.16 Note to Offerors

In the recent past, the Commission has received a number of proposals from offerors that have been unresponsive to the specific RFPs. Problems have included:

A. Unsigned Proposal Signature and Certificate page.
B. Bid format not corresponding to RFP requirements (excessive use of “boilerplate” language/insufficient focus on service requirements).

C. No price quote for services to be provided (only hourly rate stated).

D. Little information concerning deliverables to be provided by offeror.

E. Submission of voluminous reference materials not relevant to the services requested.

F. Proposal not stating acceptance of provisions of sample contract attached to the RFP.

A careful reading of the RFP by offerors will prevent these problems.

2.0 SCOPE OF SERVICES

2.1 Background

This RFP contains one service for which consulting assistance is requested. This service is described in Section 2.2 of this RFP.

2.2 Docket No. 32235: Generic Proceeding to Implement House Bill 168

On January 19, 2021 or upon execution of the contract by the Commission and the selected offeror, the offeror shall conduct Agreed-Upon Procedures Engagement(s) on one or more applications for disbursements from the Universal Access Fund. The applications subject to this Agreed-Upon Procedures Engagement will be filed on December 11, 2020.

There are 20 Tier 2 incumbent local exchange carriers that are eligible to seek funding from the Universal Access Fund. However, in the last four years, the same 19 carriers (“UAF Applicant Companies”) have applied each year. The Commission has, in recent years, selected one offeror to conduct Engagements of all of the individual applications, but the Commission reserves the right to select multiple offerors to review subsets of the applications. With that in mind, you may wish to consider pricing your bid differently based on the number of applications awarded (e.g., $X per application up to five applications, $Y per application up to 10 applications, etc.). However you choose to price your bid, it should be on a per application basis because the Commission does not know with certainty how many applications will be filed and because, while the Commission is the selected offeror’s client, the UAF Applicant Companies are

---

1 A sample unredacted UAF application that was filed in 2019 can be found here: https://psc.ga.gov/search/facts-document/?documentId=179228
responsible for paying the expense of the Engagement, pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 46-2-33(a)

The selected offeror will review the application or applications that were awarded to the offeror and the relevant State law\(^2\) and Commission orders that govern UAF applications\(^3\). The selected offeror will then develop data requests\(^4\) to the UAF Applicant Company or Companies. The data requests should request any additional documents and information not included in the application that are necessary to evaluate the application’s accuracy and compliance with State law and Commission orders.

Below are the procedures that were performed in last year’s Engagements. However, offerors are strongly encouraged to develop their own procedures, keeping in mind that the purpose of the Engagement is primarily to verify that the amounts requested by the UAF Applicant Companies are accurate and that the expenses incurred reflect the “reasonable actual costs to provide basic local exchange services.” O.C.G.A. § 46-5-167(d)(2)(B) Offerors should include in their proposals all of the procedures it believes are necessary to meet these requirements. Optional procedures may be proposed by the offeror at additional cost (again, on a per application basis).

**Procedure 1:** Verify amounts found in the “balance per books” column of the UAF Application back to the form M and supporting general ledger. Provide the general ledger supporting schedules used to populate the Form M.

**Procedure 2:** Verify the amounts of federal universal service fund support reported in the UAF Application and classified as intrastate revenue for UAF purposes against disbursements reported by the Universal Services Administrative Company. Review UAF Applicant work papers that reconcile USAC reported disbursements to amounts shown in the UAF Application.

**Procedure 3:** Review all ILEC invoices over $250 and select a significant number of ILEC invoices to assess reasonableness and compliance of the expenditure. Determine whether prior year(s) disallowances are removed from the current year filing and report on results.

---


\(^3\) See [https://psc.ga.gov/search/facts-document/?documentId=174864](https://psc.ga.gov/search/facts-document/?documentId=174864) and [https://psc.ga.gov/search/facts-document/?documentId=133576](https://psc.ga.gov/search/facts-document/?documentId=133576). Other Commission Orders in this docket can be viewed and downloaded here: [https://psc.ga.gov/facts-advanced-search/document-filings/?docketId=32235&documentId=&statusId=&description=&company=gpsc&industryId=&filedDateFrom=&filedDateTo=&receivedDateFrom=&receivedDateTo=](https://psc.ga.gov/facts-advanced-search/document-filings/?docketId=32235&documentId=&statusId=&description=&company=gpsc&industryId=&filedDateFrom=&filedDateTo=&receivedDateFrom=&receivedDateTo=)

\(^4\) Data requests issued to last year’s UAF Applicant Companies can be found here: [https://stars.psc.ga.gov/Facts/Document/GetDocument/179408](https://stars.psc.ga.gov/Facts/Document/GetDocument/179408)
Procedure 4: Trace amounts in the “subject to separations” adjustment column of the UAF Application to Net Operating Income (NOI) and Rate of Return (ROR) on rate base calculations.

Procedure 5 Trace amounts in the “non-regulated” adjustment column of UAF Application to supporting journal entries of cost study. Supporting journal entries are tied back to supporting workpapers that show the actual development of adjustment amounts. Selected supporting work papers are reviewed for reasonableness, accuracy, and conformance to Cost Allocation Manual elements.

Procedure 6: Verify fixed asset amounts in the company’s Continuing Property Record and identify those assets that are inappropriately included in rate base in the UAF application. If applicant is a recipient of Federal or state Broadband Grants, determined whether the grants were to be used as revenue, expense offsets, or for capital acquisitions. To the extent such grants are/were used for capital acquisition, review books to ensure that such purchased assets are excluded from the rate base for UAF purposes.

Procedure 7: Review cost study allocation methods and factor percentages for reasonableness and consistency.

Procedure 8: Test and recalculate the Revenue Requirement Section on the ILEC application.

Procedure 9 Verify that any long term debt interest costs treated as AFUDC (Allowance for Funds Used During Construction) were treated either as current year income or as a reduction to interest rates.

Procedure 10 Verify that any Patronage Dividends credited (not necessarily received in cash) from long term lenders are treated as a reduction to the cost of debt.

Procedure 11: Review the outside auditor’s report on the financial statements and report any adverse findings or qualified opinions.

Procedure 12: Review and test the requested amount for Executive Compensation and Corporate Operations Expense and compared the amount shown in the UAF application to the GPSC caps.

Procedure 13: Review depreciation rates used for the UAF application and compare to the rates adopted by the GPSC.
Procedure 14: Review cost allocation manual, verify that it is being used, test a sample of allocations and report when the manual was last updated.

Procedure 15: Incorporate revised factors or methods adopted by GPSC, such as cost of equity, into the UAF model.

Procedure 16: Obtain and prepare exhibits comparing financial results and support mechanisms. Provide an analysis as to why increases/decreases occurred.

Procedure 17: Identify Construction Work in Progress and Retirement amounts for the test year.

Procedure 18: Identify the company’s affiliates and non-regulated services.

Procedure 19: Identify the total costs charged to the regulated company by the affiliate or parent company. Quantified costs by FCC USOA Account and determined the per access line amount over the past 3 years. If the costs appear unreasonable, propose adjustments or informed GPSC staff and recommend an affiliated transactions engagement be performed.

Procedure 20: For ACAM UAF companies only, obtain the company spreadsheet depicting calculated amounts, review company prepared adjustments, trace adjustments in the UAF application model to the spreadsheet and identify impact of the adjustment.

Procedure 21: Test and review the Approved Cost of Equity and Cost of Capital Rates of Tier II local exchange carriers for the purpose of evaluating applications for reimbursement from the UAF. The rate of return on rate base will be limited to the lesser of 8.0% or the level computed based on a 9.25% return on equity, using the applicant’s actual cost of debt and the applicant’s actual debt/equity structure. Verify that the above calculation was used in the respective UAF Model.


Procedure 23: Prepare testimony if requested.

In the interest of cost savings as well as safety during the COVID-19 pandemic, offerors are encouraged to perform the procedures as a “desk audit” if possible.

The selected offeror shall produce a final report outlining the findings and disallowances, if any, that result from each procedure. The deadline for providing draft reports to the Commission Staff shall be May 1, 2021.
Finally, it is possible that the offeror may be required to prepare testimony and testify in defense of its findings during a hearing that will be conducted either in person at the Commission or via Zoom. A hearing has only been requested once in the last ten years (2011), but the cost of testimony and appearance at a hearing should be priced into the offer in the event a hearing is requested by an interested party.\(^5\)

### 2.3 Offeror Responsibilities

The offeror agrees that, for a period of one year following the completion of any project described in this RFP, as well as during the time within which such duties are being performed, the offeror shall not enter into any employment with the companies under the jurisdiction of the Commission, or any subsidiary or affiliate of those companies. Additionally, the offeror must, on his or her own action, disclose to the attorneys for the Staff the fact and substance of any unauthorized contacts or representations made to the offeror outside the physical presence of attorneys representing the Staff or a Commission Staff member by persons known, or who reasonably should be known, by the offeror to be associated, directly or indirectly, with the companies referenced in this paragraph. The Commission shall inform the offeror of the Commission’s policies and regulations with respect to such unauthorized contact, and the offeror shall affirm in writing that no unauthorized contacts were made or that such contacts were reported as required. Non-compliance with this requirement may result in immediate cancellation of the related contract and the institution of any additional proceeding deemed necessary or appropriate by the attorneys representing the Commission. The attorneys representing the Commission are authorized to use any reasonable method to ensure strict compliance with this requirement.

### 2.4 Time of Performance

The period of performance of the related contract shall be from the date of its execution through the completion of the tasks as described in Sections 2.2 of this RFP or until the Commission shall determine that further performance should cease and shall instruct the offeror to suspend performance, provided, however, that nothing shall prohibit the offeror from making appropriate fee and expense applications for work performed between the Beginning Date and the Execution Date. In the event that performance shall be suspended upon instruction of the Commission, the offeror shall be compensated for all work completed prior to said suspension according to allowed expenses and labor at the rates as stated in the contract.

---

\(^5\) See Procedural and Scheduling Order: https://psc.ga.gov/search/facts-document/?documentId=180825
3.0 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS

3.1 Offeror Qualifications

Each proposal should contain documents and other information necessary to enable the Commission to evaluate the offeror’s ability to provide the required consulting services. These documents and information should include, but are not limited to, the following:

A. Examples of the offeror’s work products related to similar issues.

B. Resumes of (a) the principals in the offeror’s consulting firm and (b) those consultants retained by the firm who would provide services as a part of the offeror’s proposal, including any subcontractors proposed.

C. A listing of which of the offeror’s consultants would be providing services under the proposal and the specific services provided by each.

D. References for the consultant(s) who would be providing services to the Commission under the proposal.

E. An Excel spreadsheet, in descending chronological order, listing all clients served in the past 36 months by the offeror’s consultant or consultants that will provide the services requested in this RFP.

3.2 Compensation and Payment

The offeror understands and agrees that all work performed under this RFP shall be paid for by the UAF Applicant Companies. The offeror shall be paid a not to exceed sum agreed upon for professional services and related expenses rendered under the contract. Offeror expenses are to include support for professional services including, but not limited to, reasonable and necessary (as defined by the Commission) actual expenses incurred by the offeror for travel, lodging, meals, telephone, express mail delivery, computer charges and copying costs. Maximum reimbursement amounts for daily meals for the offeror shall be the following, the total of which is not meant to be a per diem amount:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breakfast</td>
<td>$ 7.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lunch</td>
<td>12.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dinner</td>
<td>30.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Payments shall be made on a monthly basis as monthly invoices are received by the Commission from the offeror, provided, however, that prior to each monthly payment, the offeror must be in compliance with as much of the contract as is applicable at the
time, and, prior to final payment, the offeror shall have completed all obligations under the contract. Invoices shall be submitted based on actual expenses and time expended on the contract work, with labor rates for offeror personnel as agreed upon. Upon execution of a contract, the Utilities Division’s Business Analyst will send instructions to the offeror to explain how the billings should be prepared, itemized and supported to effect payment. Additionally, the Commission and the offeror will agree that the contract amount shall be subject to modification by agreement between them to accommodate changes in workload required of the offeror due to subsequent changes in the scope and level of the offeror’s responsibilities, not otherwise properly compensated by the amount originally stated in the contract. The necessity of modifying the contract amount shall be determined by agreement of the offeror and the Staff, subject to Commission approval.

3.3 Retention of Records

The offeror shall keep and maintain all records and other documents pertaining to the performance of the contract until the final payment of funds to the offeror by the UAF Applicant Company or Companies pursuant to the contract is made. At such time, the physical custody of the records and documents shall be returned to the Commission.

3.4 Contract

The proposal shall state acceptance by the submitting party of Articles II through VI of the attached sample contract (Attachment I). Payment under this contract shall be made in accordance with the provisions of Section 3.2 of this RFP.

4.0 PROPOSAL EVALUATION

4.1 Selection Process

The Commission Staff shall evaluate each proposal submitted by utilizing the evaluation criteria below. On the date shown in Section 1.3, Procurement Timetable, the Commission shall select offerors to provide the consulting services described in this RFP.

4.2 Evaluation Criteria

The offeror shall meet, and where applicable shall commit to meeting, all of the requirements of this RFP. The Commission shall use its judgment to select a vendor, as indicated in this RFP.

In awarding the contracts for these services, the Commission shall make written awards of contracts to the offerors whose proposals are the most advantageous to the State. The
Commission Staff shall evaluate each proposal submitted by utilizing the evaluation criteria below:

A. The cost of the consulting services requested.

B. Demonstrated experience and competence of the offeror in performing tasks similar to those contained in this RFP.

C. The ability of the offeror to provide effective consulting services concerning the tasks on which the offeror is bidding.

The Commission shall consider each proposal in a manner that does not disclose the contents of the proposal to competing offerors.